CITI Attendees: Sue Abeles, Neal Axelrod, Roshan Bastani, Glyn Davies, Jim Davis, Chris Foote, Sam Morabito, Steve Olsen, John Sandbrook

Guests: Mike Lee (PDP participant), Nick Reddingius (OIT), Mike Schilling (CTS), Marsha Smith (ATS), Esther Woo-Benjamin (OIT), Don Worth (AIS)

Agenda:

1) CITI review and discussion of sponsored projects in terms of major deliverables, milestones, issues, slippages and targets

Enterprise Directory and Identity Management Infrastructure (EDIMI) project

The CITI (then ECC) originally gave approval to proceed with detailed planning for this project in early 2003. In late 2003, it approved release of $106K of UTIPP funds to conduct proof-of-concept pilot projects and to engage Burton Group to consult on a Technical Architecture document. The project is now seeking CITI approval to release of $891K in UTIPP funds to start a two-year implementation phase. Supported by the following design and review process, the Office of Information Technology (OIT) recommends proceeding with the implementation phase.

- A Functional Oversight Committee (FOC) of key stakeholders was formed to surface the functional requirements for the project and the appropriate target audience(s) for the EDIMI. The FOC produced a Functional Requirements document that was integrated into the Project Control Document (PCD). Approval to proceed with the project remains conditional on final FOC review of the PCD.

- Burton Group was hired to review the architecture and help develop the implementation plan. The proposed plan follows their recommendations.

- Proof-of-concept pilot projects combined with the Functional Requirements document and Burton Group input produced a Technical Architecture Document and a PCD.

- Multiple UC campuses that include UCLA are involved in a Federated Authentication Project. The EDIMI project is aligned.
• On October 14, 2004, a review team of internal and external reviewers examined the proposed Technical Architecture plan for addressing identified functional needs, project assumptions, project goals, implementation technologies, integration with other campus systems, project scope, and project dependencies and risks. The Review team agreed with the EDIMI architecture strategy and design and recommended proceeding.

• The OIT separately reviewed project assumptions, the technical and organizational bases for key decisions and the alignment of the plan with campus objectives and established/existing technologies. The OIT supports the project plan, but along with the review team, has additionally requested plans to address policy requirements, training and communications, as part of the implementation.

**Actions:**

Some CITI members requested copies of detailed project documents. All project documentation is posted at: [http://www.ais.ucla.edu/tig/workspace/edimi-docs.htm](http://www.ais.ucla.edu/tig/workspace/edimi-docs.htm). There was agreement to review these documents and send comments by November 22.

2) **Alternative pricing models for technology (non-voice services)**

CITI has had updates over the past several months about progress on separating technology costs from voice service costs. CTS has recently completed preliminary analysis of proposed alternative models for funding those technologies (currently funded through the phone bill) as a campus ‘technology infrastructure fee’ which will be separate from the voice services charges. Mike Schilling presented a comparative analysis of five models: 1) knowledge worker head count, 2) knowledge worker FTE, 3) head count, 4) total FTE, and 5) telephone line count. The Committee discussed the impacts of each.

**Actions:**

There were questions of whether the technology infrastructure assessment could be paid for from research grants; and what models other universities are using. CTS plans to return to CITI with responses to both questions.